Scores for Thursday's presentations

Scoring for Rapid fire session: **"A great surgical tip… which I learnt from…"** (3' videos)

score 1 not very good: not acceptable / 2, 3 acceptable for presentation / 4: excellent should be chosen

PRESENTATION ID #	Useful	Quality of the video	Narration	Adheres to time	TOTAL SCORE

Scoring for Rapid fire session: **"A surgical nightmare…I want to wake-up!"** (3' surgical videos or 3' presentations)

For videos: score 1 not very good: not acceptable / 2,3 acceptable for presentation / 4: excellent should be chosen

For presentations: score 1 not good, not acceptable. Score 2 acceptable for presentation

PRESENTATION ID #	Interest* of the complication being presented	Quality of the video / quality of the narration of the case if presentation	Narration (for videos)	Adheres to time (for videos)	TOTAL SCORE

Note: "interest" * = For example, the complication is rare, or happened in an unusual context, or it was not properly managed and, with hindsight, could have been dealt with in a better manner, or because it was managed very well.

Scoring for **FREE PAPERS**

score 1 not very good: not acceptable / 2,3 acceptable for presentation / >4: excellent should be chosen

PRESENTATION ID #	Interest* of the problem being presented Score 1: not very interesting Score: 2: acceptable Score 3: interesting	Retrospective study = score 1 Prospective study = score 2	Case report (single case) = score 1 Non-systematic review = 2 Case series (less than 25 cases; can be before and after surgical studies) = score 3 Before and after treatment (surgery) studies (more than 50 cases) = 4 Case-control study= 5 Systematic reviews and meta-analysis of non-RCT studies =6 RCT = 7 Systematic reviews and meta-analysis of RCTs = 8 Systematic reviews and individual participant data meta-analysis = 9	SCORE (average)

Note: Interest * = Presentation may be considered of interest for example because it presents a novel topic; or a topic that is not novel but it is a approached in a new manner; or because explores with real-life data whether outcomes of RCTs are comparable with those in clinical practice; or because with good methodology confirms findings demonstrated in preliminary studies.

Scores for Friday's presentations

Scoring for Rapid fire sessions (3' surgical videos / 3' case reports/case series):

DIABETIC RETINOPATHY

UVEITIS/MASQUERADE SYNDROMES/SYMPATHETIC OPHTHALMIA

MISCELLANEOUS

For videos: score 1 not very good: not acceptable / 2,3 acceptable for presentation / 4: excellent should be chosen

For presentations (case reports/series): score 1 not good, not acceptable. Score 2 acceptable for presentation

PRESENTATION ID #	Interest of the problem being presented	Quality of the video / quality of the narration of the case if presentation	Narration (for videos)	Adheres to time (for videos)	SCORE

Note: Interest * = Presentation may be considered of interest because, for example, presents an interesting case/case series due to its rarity, or unexpected outcomes, or novel approach